arXiv:0807.3544v13 [math.KT] 30 Oct 2009

TOPOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO EMBEDDING OF A MATRIX ALGEBRA BUNDLE INTO A TRIVIAL ONE

A.V. ERSHOV

Abstract. In the present paper we describe topological obstructions to embedding of a (complex) matrix algebra bundle into a trivial one under some additional arithmetic condition on their dimensions. We explain a relation between this problem and some principal bundles with structure groupoid. Finally, we briefly discuss a relation of our results to the twisted K-theory.

1. A homotopic description of obstructions

1.1. **Introduction.** The starting point of our work was the following question. Let X be (say) a compact manifold, $A_k \xrightarrow{p_k} X$ a locally trivial bundle with fibre a complex matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ (so its "natural" structure group is $\mathrm{Aut}(M_k(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_k(\mathbb{C})$). Then *is A_k a subbundle of a* (finite dimensional) *trivial bundle $X \times M_n(\mathbb{C})$*, i.e. *is there a fiberwise map (in fact embedding)*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A_k \arrow[rr, "\mu"] \arrow[dr] & & X \times M_n(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[dl] \\ & X & \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

such that $\forall x \in X$ its restriction $\mu\mid_x$ embeds the fibre $(A_k)_x$ into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as a unital subalgebra?

It is natural to compare this question with the well-known fact that any vector bundle ξ over a compact base X is a subbundle of a product bundle $X \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Obviously, a unital homomorphism $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ exists only if $n = kl$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, as in the case of vector bundles n should be large enough relative to $\dim(X)$; thus, the initial question can be reformulated as follows: *are there "stable" (i.e. non-vanishing when l grows) obstructions to existence of embedding (1)?*

It turns out that (taking into account the previous remark) the answer is positive if we do not impose any additional condition on l. But if we require, say, l to be relatively prime to k, then stable obstructions arise.

It is convenient to replace the groups $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by compact ones $\mathrm{PU}(n)$ considering only $*$-homomorphisms instead of all unital homomorphisms of matrix algebras. Since $\mathrm{PU}(n)$ is a deformation retract of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ this does not have any effect on the homotopy theory.

1.2. **The main construction.** The obstructions can be described more explicitly by reducing the embedding problem (1) to a lifting problem for a suitable fibration. The next construction can be regarded as a version of a "bijection" $\mathrm{Mor}(X \times Y,\, Z) \to \mathrm{Mor}(X,\, \mathrm{Mor}(Y,\, Z))$ adapted to the case of fibrations ("Mor" means "morphisms").

So, let $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ be the set of all unital $*$-homomorphisms $M_k(\mathbb{C})\to M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. It follows from Noether-Skolem's theorem [7] that there is the representation

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))\cong \mathrm{PU}(kl)/(E_k\otimes \mathrm{PU}(l)) \tag{2}$$

(here and below the tensor product symbol $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product of matrices) in the form of homogeneous space of the group $\mathrm{PU}(kl)$. For short we denote this space by $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ ("Fr" refers to "frame"). Together with the Bott periodicity this representation allows us to compute the stable (i.e. low dimensional) homotopy groups of this space:

$$\pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l})\cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\ \text{ for } r \text{ odd} \quad\text{and}\quad \pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l})=0\ \text{ for } r \text{ even.} \tag{3}$$

Let $A_k^{univ}\to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ be the universal $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle. Applying the functor (taking values in the category of topological spaces) $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\ldots,\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ to A_k^{univ} fiberwisely, we obtain the fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \arrow[d, "p_{k,\,l}"] \\ & \mathrm{BPU}(k). \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

It is easy to see that there exists the canonical embedding of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $p_{k,\,l}^*(A_k^{univ})\to \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$ into the product bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let

$$\bar{f}\colon X\to \mathrm{BPU}(k) \tag{5}$$

be a classifying map for A_k, i.e. $A_k=\bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})$. Now it is easy to see that an embedding (1) with $n=kl$ is the same thing as a lift $\widetilde{f}$ of the classifying map $\bar{f}$,

$$\widetilde{f}\colon X\to \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}),\quad p_{k,\,l}\circ \widetilde{f}=\bar{f},$$

and vice versa, such a lift defines an embedding. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between embeddings (1) of* $A_k=\bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})$ *and lifts* $\widetilde{f}$ *of its classifying map* $\bar{f}$ *in (4).*

So the lift of $\bar{f}$ corresponding to an embedding μ we denote by $\widetilde{f}_\mu$. Clearly, we also have a one-to-one correspondence between homotopy classes of embeddings and (fiberwise) homotopy classes of lifts given by $[\mu]\mapsto[\widetilde{f}_\mu]$.

It turns out that the total space $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$ of fibration (4) is homotopy equivalent to the so-called *matrix Grassmannian* $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$, the homogeneous space parametrizing the set of k-subalgebras (i.e. unital $*$-subalgebras isomorphic $M_k(\mathbb{C})$) in the algebra $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that it can be represented as

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\cong \mathrm{PU}(kl)/(\mathrm{PU}(k)\otimes \mathrm{PU}(l)) \tag{6}$$

according to Noether-Skolem's theorem. The mentioned homotopy equivalence

$$\tau_{k,\,l}\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\overset{\simeq}{\to}\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \tag{7}$$

is defined as follows: it takes a point $h \in \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$ such that $p_{k,\,l}(h) = x \in \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ to the k-subalgebra $h((A_k^{univ})_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (here we identify points in $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ with k-subalgebras in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$). Note that in fact $\tau_{k,\,l}$ is a fibration with contractible fibers $\mathrm{EPU}(k)$ (the total space of the universal principal $\mathrm{PU}(k)$-bundle).

The tautological $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ can be defined as a subbundle in the product bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of all pairs $\{(x,\, T) \mid x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l},\, T \in M_{k,\,x} \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})\}$, where $M_{k,\,x}$ denotes the k-subalgebra corresponding to $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$. Clearly, the above constructed homotopy equivalence $\tau_{k,\,l}\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ identifies $p_{k,\,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 2. The matrix grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ classify equivalence classes of pairs $(A_k,\, \mu)$ over finite CW-complexes X, where $A_k \to X$ is a locally trivial $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle over X and μ is an embedding $A_k \to X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (see (1)). Two such pairs $(A_k,\, \mu)$, $(A'_k,\, \mu')$ are equivalent if $A_k \cong A'_k$ and μ is homotopic to μ'.

1.3. **The first obstruction.** Now let us give the promised description of obstructions to lifting in fibration (4). First, consider the first obstruction. According to the obstruction theory, it is a characteristic class $A_k \mapsto \bar{\omega}_1(A_k) = \bar{f}^*(\bar{\omega}_1) \in H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, where $\bar{\omega}_1 := \bar{\omega}_1(A_k^{univ}) \in H^2(\mathrm{BPU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3. *The first obstruction is the obstruction to the reduction (or lift) of the structure group* $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ *of the bundle* $A_k \xrightarrow{p_k} X$ *to* $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ *(here we mean the exact sequence of groups* $1 \to \rho_k \to \mathrm{SU}(k) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_k} \mathrm{PU}(k) \to 1$, *where* ρ_k *is the group of* k*th roots of unity).*

Proof. Note that in our case $(k,\, l) = 1$ the projective unitary groups in representation (6) can be replaced by special unitary ones, i.e. the matrix Grassmannian has the equivalent representation

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \cong \mathrm{SU}(kl)/(\mathrm{SU}(k) \otimes \mathrm{SU}(l)). \tag{8}$$

This follows from the obvious fact that if k and l are relatively prime, then the center of $\mathrm{SU}(kl)$ (which is the group ρ_{kl} of klth roots of unity) is the product $\rho_k \times \rho_l$ of centers of $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(l)$. Hence the structure group of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundles $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ and $p_{k,\,l}^*(A_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$ is $\mathrm{SU}(k)$. From the other hand, if the structure group of A_k can be reduced to $\mathrm{SU}(k)$, then $\bar{\omega}_1(A_k) = 0$ because $\mathrm{BSU}(k)$ is 3-connected. ☐

Obviously, $\bar{\omega}_1$ is a generator of $H^2(\mathrm{BPU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$.

Now assume that A_k has the form $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$, where $\xi_k \to X$ is a vector $\mathbb{C}^k$-bundle (not every $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle can be represented in this form; the obstruction is the class $\delta(\bar{\omega}_1(A_k)) \in Br(X) := H^3_{tors}(X,\, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\delta\colon H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(X,\, \mathbb{Z})$ is the coboundary homomorphism corresponding to the coefficient sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot k} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \to 0).$$

Theorem 4. *For* $A_k = \mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ *the first obstruction is* $c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k \in H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, *where* c_1 *is the first Chern class.*

Proof. Let $\xi_k^{univ} \to \mathrm{BU}(k)$ be the universal $\mathbb{C}^k$-bundle. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\ldots,\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ to the $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{BU}(k)$ fiberwisely, we obtain the fibration (cf. (4)):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \arrow[d, "\widehat{p}_{k,\,l}"] \\
 & \mathrm{BU}(k).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

Note that there is the canonical embedding $\widehat{p}^{\,*}_{k,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Now it is easy to see that an embedding $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ is the same thing as a lift in (9) of the classifying map $f\colon X \to \mathrm{BU}(k)$ for ξ_k.

We have the pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\mathrm{B}\,\chi_k}"] \arrow[d, "\widehat{p}_{k,\,l}"'] & \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \arrow[d, "p_{k,\,l}"] \\
\mathrm{BU}(k) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{B}\,\chi_k"] & \mathrm{BPU}(k),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathrm{B}\,\chi_k$ is the map of classifying spaces $\mathrm{BU}(k) \to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ induced by the group epimorphism $\chi_k\colon\, \mathrm{U}(k) \to \mathrm{PU}(k)$ (or equivalently by the classifying map for $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})$ as an $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle). In particular, our obstruction is the class $\omega_1 := \mathrm{B}\,\chi_k^*(\bar{\omega}_1) \in H^2(\mathrm{BU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. We have to prove that $\omega_1 = c_1(\xi_k^{univ}) \bmod k$.

We claim that the structure group of $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ can be reduced to $\mathrm{SU}(k)$ iff $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k$. Indeed, $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k \;\Leftrightarrow\; c_1(\xi_k) = k\alpha,\ \alpha \in H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z})$. There is a line bundle $\zeta' \to X$ (which is unique up to isomorphism) such that $c_1(\zeta') = -\alpha$. Then $c_1(\xi_k \otimes \zeta') = c_1(\xi_k) + kc_1(\zeta') = 0$, i.e. $\xi_k \otimes \zeta'$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle. From the other hand, $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) = \mathrm{End}(\xi_k \otimes \zeta')$. Conversely, the classifying map

$$\mathrm{B}\,\chi_k\colon\; \mathrm{BU}(k) \to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$$

for $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})$ as a $\mathrm{PU}(k)$-bundle has the fiber $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. It follows from the obstruction theory that $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) \cong \mathrm{End}(\xi'_k)$ as $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundles iff $\xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$ for some line bundle $\zeta' \to X$. Clearly, $c_1(\xi'_k) \equiv c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k$ and ξ'_k is an $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle $\Leftrightarrow c_1(\xi'_k) = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k$. $\square$

Remark 5. Let us describe the relation between two versions ("PU" and "U") of obstructions and the Brauer group $Br(X) = H^3_{tors}(X,\, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the exact coefficient sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot k} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

and a piece of the corresponding cohomology sequence:

$$H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} H^2(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^3(X,\, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\delta(\bar{\omega}_1(A_k)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A_k$ has the form $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k)$ for some vector $\mathrm{U}(k)$-bundle ξ_k (Note that $\delta(\bar{\omega}_1(A_k)) \in H^3(X,\, \mathbb{Z})$ is exactly the class of A_k in the Brauer group). If $\delta(\bar{\omega}_1(A_k)) = 0$, then $\bar{\omega}_1(A_k) = \lambda(c_1(\xi_k))$, where λ is the reduction modulo k. But ξ_k such that $\mathrm{End}(\xi_k) = A_k$ is not unique: $\xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$ also suits. Clearly, $c_1(\xi'_k) \equiv c_1(\xi_k) \bmod k$ and $c_1(\xi_k) \equiv 0 \bmod k \Leftrightarrow \xi'_k = \xi_k \otimes \zeta'$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle for some ζ'.

1.4. **The second obstruction.** Now *assume that for the bundle* $A_k \overset{p_k}{\to} X$ *the first obstruction is equal to* 0. We have shown that such a bundle has the form $\mathrm{End}(\widetilde{\xi}_k)$ for some vector $\mathbb{C}^k$-bundle $\widetilde{\xi}_k$ with the structure group $\mathrm{SU}(k)$. Equivalently, the classifying map $\bar{f}\colon X \to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ (5) can be lifted to $f\colon X \to \mathrm{BSU}(k)$. It follows from standard facts of topological obstruction theory and given above (stable) homotopy groups of the space $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} = \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ (see (3)) that the next obstruction belongs to $H^4(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 6. *The second obstruction is* $c_2(\widetilde{\xi}_k) \bmod k$*, where* c_2 *is the second Chern class.*

Proof. To show this, first note that the space $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ has the universal covering

$$\rho_k \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\,. \tag{11}$$

Hence $\pi_r(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) = \pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l})$ for $r \geq 2$ and $\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) = 0$ (while $\pi_1(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$). Obviously, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \cong \mathrm{SU}(kl)/(E_k \otimes \mathrm{SU}(l))$ (cf. (2)).

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{EPU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{PU}(k)}{\times} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[d, "p_{k,\,l}"] \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \arrow[urr] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \arrow[urr, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{p}_{k,\,l}"] & & \mathrm{BPU}(k) \\
 & \mathrm{BSU}(k), \arrow[urr] & &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{12}$$

where $p_{k,\,l}$ is fibration (4). Note that the homotopy equivalence $\widetilde{\tau}_{k,\,l}\colon \mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ (cf. (7)) can easily be deduced from representation (8). $\pi_3(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\ \Rightarrow$ the "universal" obstruction is a characteristic class $\omega_2 \in H^4(\mathrm{BSU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\widetilde{\xi}_k^{univ} \to \mathrm{BSU}(k)$ be the universal $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle. Since $c_2(\widetilde{\xi}_k^{univ}) \bmod k$ is a generator of $H^4(\mathrm{BSU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, we see that

$$\omega_2 = \alpha c_2(\widetilde{\xi}_k^{univ}) \bmod k \in H^4(\mathrm{BSU}(k),\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}),\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{13}$$

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\tau}_{k,\,l}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{p}_{k,\,l}"'] & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[dl, "\lambda_{k,\,l}"] \\
\mathrm{BSU}(k), &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\lambda_{k,\,l}$ is the classifying map for $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ as an $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle. Thus, the piece of the homotopy sequence for the "SU"-part of (12)

$$\pi_4(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \to \pi_4(\mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \to \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k)) \to \pi_3(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \to \pi_3(\mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l})$$

is exactly

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ the image $\pi_4(\mathrm{ESU}(k) \underset{\mathrm{SU}(k)}{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \hookrightarrow \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k))$ is the subgroup of index k.

Now take $X = S^4$ and consider the group homomorphism $\pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k)) \to H^4(S^4,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, $[g] \mapsto g^*\omega_2$, where $g\colon S^4 \to \mathrm{BSU}(k)$ and $[g] \in \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k))$ the corresponding homotopy class. If $k \nmid [g]$ in $\pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ then $g^*\omega_2 \neq 0$ because $g^*\omega_2$ is the unique obstruction to embedding in this case. Hence α in (13) is invertible modulo k, in particular we can take $\alpha = 1$. $\square$

Note that the obstructions are stable in the sense that they do not vanish when we take the direct limit over pairs $\{k,\, l\}$ satisfying the condition $(k,\, l) = 1$.

1.5. **On "higher" obstructions.** In general, "higher" obstructions (in stable dimensions) are in $H^{2r}(X,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. But for $r > 2$ they do not coincide with the Chern classes reduced modulo k. To see this, take $X = S^8$ and consider a 6-dimensional vector bundle $\xi_6 \to S^8$. It is well-known [4] that for S^{2r} the Chern classes of complex vector bundles form the subgroup of index $(r-1)!$ in $H^{2r}(S^{2r},\, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, in our case $r = 4$, $k = 6$ we have $c_4(\xi_6) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, but it follows from the homotopy sequence of fibration (4) (or (12)) that not every such a bundle has a lift.

In order to go further, one can use the modification of Chern classes for connected covers of BU. More precisely, let $\iota\colon \mathrm{BU}\langle 2r\rangle \to \mathrm{BU}$ be the connective cover of BU whose first non-zero homotopy is in degree $2r$ (thus $\mathrm{BU}\langle 2\rangle = \mathrm{BU},\ \mathrm{BU}\langle 4\rangle = \mathrm{BSU}, \ldots$). Then the image of the r'th Chern class under the pullback $\iota^*\colon H^*(\mathrm{BU},\, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(\mathrm{BU}\langle 2r\rangle,\, \mathbb{Z})$ is divisible by $(r-1)!$[1] [6]. Put $\widetilde{c}_r := \frac{\iota^*(c_r)}{(r-1)!}$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorems 4 and 6.

Theorem 7. *For bundles classified by* $\mathrm{BU}\langle 2r\rangle$ *the first obstruction to the above lifting problem is* $\widetilde{c}_r \bmod k$.

Proof. For the connective cover $\iota_k\colon \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle \to \mathrm{BU}(k)$, $k > r$ consider the $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$-fibration

$$\iota_k^*(\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ}))) \to \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle \tag{14}$$

induced from (9). Clearly, the first obstruction to lifting in this fibration is a characteristic class

$$\omega_r \in H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle,\, \pi_{2r-1}(\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l})) = H^{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle,\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows from the homotopy sequence of fibration (14) that $\pi_{2r}(\iota_k^*(\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})))) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the homomorphism $\pi_{2r}(\iota_k^*(\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{univ})))) \to \pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle)$ is injective and its image is the subgroup of index k in $\pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 2r\rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Now using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 6 with S^{2r} in place of S^4, we see that for the bundle $\xi_k \to S^{2r}$ corresponding to the generator $1 \in \pi_{2r}(\mathrm{BU}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ the class ω_r is a generator of $H^{2r}(S^{2r},\, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. we can put $\omega_r = \widetilde{c}_r \bmod k$, as claimed. $\square$

---

[1] I am grateful to Professor Thomas Schick for bringing this result to my attention.

The obtained result can also be reformulated as follows. Let $\xi_k \to X$ be a vector $\mathbb{C}^k$-bundle such that $c_1(\xi_k) = 0,\ c_2(\xi_k) = 0$. Then its classifying map $f_\xi \colon X \to \mathrm{BSU}(k)$ can be lifted to $\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6\rangle$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 8\rangle \arrow[r, "\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},\,5)"] & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6\rangle \arrow[r, "\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},\,3)"] & \mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 4\rangle = \mathrm{BSU}(k) \\
 & & X \arrow[ul, "f_\xi^{(4)}"'] \arrow[u, "f_\xi^{(3)}"'] \arrow[ur, "f_\xi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(the upper row in the diagram is the Whitehead tower for $\mathrm{BSU}(k)$). In fact, the space $\mathrm{BU}(k)\langle 6\rangle$ represents some refined theory of bundles with $c_2 = 0$ and we can regard the lift $f_\xi^{(3)}$ as a classifying map for some bundle $\xi_k^{(3)}$ of this type. Thus, we have the characteristic class $\widetilde{c}_3(\xi_k^{(3)}) := f_\xi^{(3)*}(\widetilde{c}_3) \in H^6(X,\,\mathbb{Z})$. If $\widetilde{c}_3(\xi_k^{(3)}) = 0$, then we choose a lift $f_\xi^{(4)}$ (see the above diagram) corresponding to some bundle $\xi_k^{(4)}$ (of even more subtle type of bundles with $\widetilde{c}_2 = 0,\ \widetilde{c}_3 = 0$) with the characteristic class $\widetilde{c}_4(\xi_k^{(4)}) \in H^8(X,\,\mathbb{Z})$, etc. Suppose that starting with the bundle $\xi_k \to X$ we obtain a sequence of bundles $\xi_k^{(i)},\ i \leq r,\ \widetilde{c}_i(\xi_k^{(i)}) = 0$ for $i < r$. Then the first obstruction for embedding $\mu\colon \mathrm{End}(\xi_k^{(r)}) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}),\ (k,\,l) = 1$ is $\widetilde{c}_r(\xi_k^{(r)}) \bmod k$.

We can also describe higher obstructions without extra conditions on integer characteristic classes. Put $\kappa_2 := c_2 \bmod k \in H^4(\mathrm{BSU}(k),\,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\kappa_2\colon \mathrm{BSU}(k) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,4)$ be also the corresponding map, $\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)$ its homotopic fiber. Clearly, $(\kappa_2)_*\colon \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k))(\cong \mathbb{Z}) \to \pi_4(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,4))(\cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is onto, and it follows from the homotopy sequence of the fibtration

$$\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2) \to \mathrm{BSU}(k) \overset{\kappa_2}{\to} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,4) \tag{15}$$

that $\pi_4(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the homomorphism $\pi_4(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)) \to \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k))$ is $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \mapsto k$. Clearly, the fiber inclusion $\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2) \to \mathrm{BSU}(k)$ induces isomorphisms $\pi_r(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)) \cong \pi_r(\mathrm{BSU}(k))$ for $r \neq 4$.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l} \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l} \arrow[l] \arrow[dl] \\
 & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[dl, "p^{[2]}_{k,\,l}"'] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{p}_{k,\,l}"] & \\
\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{BSU}(k) \arrow[r, "\kappa_2"] & \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,4)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{16}$$

containing three fibrations. The map $p^{[2]}_{k,\,l}$ exists because $\kappa_2 \circ \widetilde{p}_{k,\,l} \simeq *$ and $\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}$ is the homotopic fiber of $p^{[2]}_{k,\,l}$.

Consider the following piece of the morphism of homotopic sequences of fibrations (16):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_5(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_4(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_4(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & \pi_4(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_3(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_3(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[d, "="] \\
\pi_5(\mathrm{BSU}(k)) \arrow[r] & \pi_4(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] & \pi_4(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] & \pi_4(\mathrm{BSU}(k)) \arrow[r] & \pi_3(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] & \pi_3(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="'] & \pi_4(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "="] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cdot k"] & \pi_3(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d, "="] \\
0 \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "\cdot k"] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that $\pi_3(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l})=0=\pi_4(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l})$. One can easily verify that the map $\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}\to\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}$ (see (16)) induces isomorphisms $\pi_r(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l})\cong\pi_r(\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l})$ for $r\neq 3$. In particular, $\pi_{2r+1}(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l})\cong\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ for $r\geq 2$ and 0 otherwise.

Now assume that for $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle $\xi_k\to X$ $\kappa_2(\xi_k)=0$. Suppose we have chosen a lift $f^{[2]}_\xi\colon X\to\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)$ of its classifying map $f_\xi\colon X\to\mathrm{BSU}(k)$. The next obstruction is a characteristic class $\kappa_3\in H^6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2),\,\pi_5(\mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l}))=H^6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2),\,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the corresponding fibration (cf. (15)):

$$\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3)\to\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)\overset{\kappa_3}{\to}\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,6)$$

and the corresponding diagram (cf. (16)):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{Fr}^{[2]}_{k,\,l} \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Fr}^{[3]}_{k,\,l} \arrow[l] \arrow[dl] \\
 & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[dl, "p^{[3]}_{k,\,l}"'] \arrow[d, "p^{[2]}_{k,\,l}"] & \\
\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{F}(\kappa_2) \arrow[r, "\kappa_3"] & \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,6).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that $(\kappa_3)_*\colon\pi_6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2))(\cong\mathbb{Z})\to\pi_6(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},\,6))(\cong\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ is onto. Indeed, take $\varphi\colon S^6\to\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)$ such that $k\nmid[\varphi]$ in $\pi_6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2))$ $\Rightarrow$ φ does not have a lift $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon S^6\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ (because $(p^{[2]}_{k,\,l})_*\colon\pi_6(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l})\to\pi_6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2))$ is the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z},\ 1\mapsto k$) $\Rightarrow$ $\varphi^*(\kappa_3)\neq 0\in H^6(S^6,\,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ (because this is the unique obstruction in this case).

It follows that the homotopic fiber inclusion $\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3)\to\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)$ induces the homomorphism $\pi_6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3))\to\pi_6(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2)),\ \mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z},\ 1\mapsto k$ in dimension 6 and isomorphisms $\pi_r(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3))\overset{\cong}{\to}\pi_r(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_2))$ in other dimensions $r\neq 6$. Using the above argument one can show that $\pi_{2r+1}(\mathrm{Fr}^{[3]}_{k,\,l})\cong\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ for $r\geq 3$ and 0 otherwise. In the same way we obtain the characteristic classes $\kappa_4\in H^8(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_3),\,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, $\kappa_5\in H^{10}(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_4),\,\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$, etc., each of which is defined on the kernel of the predecessor. Note that $(p^{[i]}_{k,\,l})_*\colon\pi_r(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l})\to\pi_r(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_i))$ is an isomorphism for $r\leq 2i+1$.

The obtained results can be summarized by the following theorem. Suppose $\xi_k\to X$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(k)$-bundle with the classifying map $f_\xi\colon X\to\mathrm{BSU}(k)$, $\dim X<2\min\{k,\,l\}$.

Theorem 8. *There is a lift* $\widehat{f}_\xi\colon X \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ *of* f_ξ *iff there is a sequence of maps* $f_\xi^{[i-1]}$, $3 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$ *making the diagram* ($i \geq 2$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l} \arrow[dl, "p^{[i+1]}_{k,l}"'] \arrow[d, "p^{[i]}_{k,l}"'] \arrow[dr, "p^{[i-1]}_{k,l}"] & & \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \mathrm{F}(\kappa_{i+1}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}_k,\, 2i+1)"] & \mathrm{F}(\kappa_i) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}_k,\, 2i-1)"] & \mathrm{F}(\kappa_{i-1}) \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
 & & X \arrow[ul, "f_\xi^{[i+1]}"] \arrow[u, "f_\xi^{[i]}"'] \arrow[ur, "f_\xi^{[i-1]}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative ($\mathrm{F}(\kappa_1) := \mathrm{BSU}(k)$, $p^{[1]}_{k,l} = \widetilde{p}_{k,l}$, $f^{[1]}_\xi = f_\xi$) *and such that* $(f_\xi^{[i-1]})^*(\kappa_i) = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq (\dim X)/2$, *where* $\kappa_i \in H^{2i}(\mathrm{F}(\kappa_{i-1}), \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ *are the above defined characteristic classes. (Note that by definition we put* $\mathrm{F}(\kappa_1) := \mathrm{BSU}(k)$, *but it is more natural to define* $\kappa_1 := c_1 \bmod k$ *and put* $\mathrm{F}(\kappa_1)$ *to be the homotopic fiber of* $\mathrm{BU}(k) \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}, 2)$*). In other words, a lift* $\widehat{f}_\xi\colon X \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ *can be constructed step by step and the obstruction for the ith step is* $(f_\xi^{[i-1]})^*(\kappa_i) \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 9. The described results indicate that the "stable" obstructions depend only on the bundle A_k, not on the choice of l which is relatively prime to k. In fact, this is true.

It turns out that the lifting in fibration (4) is equivalent to the "reduction" of the structure group $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ to the group $\Omega^e_{\mathrm{SU}(k)\otimes \mathrm{SU}(l)}\mathrm{SU}(kl)$ of paths in $\mathrm{SU}(kl)$ with origin in the subgroup $\mathrm{SU}(k)\otimes\mathrm{SU}(l) \subset \mathrm{SU}(kl)$ and end in the unit element e; moreover, $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ is its classifying space [10], [11].

One can also describe the set of mutually nonhomotopic embeddings of form (1) in terms of fibration (4). Namely, there is a natural bijection between it and the set of fibrewise homotopy classes of sections of the pullback fibration $\bar{f}^*(\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})) \to X$ (see (5)). In particular, if A_k is the product bundle $X \times M_k(\mathbb{C})$, then this is just the set of homotopy classes $[X, \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}), M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))]$.

2. An approach via groupoids

It turns out that above considered spaces and bundles (like $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$, $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$, $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$ etc.) can naturally be interpreted in terms of some groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ of matrix subalgebras in the fixed matrix algebra $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$.

2.1. Groupoids $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$.

Let $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ be the complex matrix algebra. Recall that unital $*$-subalgebras in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ isomorphic to $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ we call *k-subalgebras.*

Define the following category $C_{k,l}$. Its objects $\mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l})$ are k-subalgebras in the fixed $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, i.e. actually points of the matrix grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$.

For two objects $M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta} \in Ob(C_{k,l})$ the set of morphisms $\mathrm{Mor}_{C_{k,l}}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta})$ is just the space $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta})$ of all unital $*$-homomorphisms of matrix algebras (i.e. actually isometric isomorphisms).

Put

$$\mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l} := \mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l}), \quad \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} := \bigcup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l})} \mathrm{Mor}_{C_{k,l}}(M_{k,\alpha}, M_{k,\beta}).$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ is a topological groupoid (in fact, even a Lie groupoid).

Remark 10. Note that we do not fix an extension of a homomorphism from $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_{k,\alpha},\, M_{k,\beta})$ to an automorphism of the whole algebra $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, so it is not the action groupoid corresponding to the action of $\mathrm{PU}(kl)$ on $\mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l})$.

It is interesting to note that if $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ would be an action groupoid for some topological group H acting on $\mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l}$, then $\mathrm{H}\simeq \mathrm{Fr}_{k,l}$. This result follows from the homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\simeq \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ (see below) and the fact that for action groupoid $\mathfrak{G}:=X\rtimes \mathrm{H}$ corresponding to an action of H on X the classifying space $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}$ is homotopy equivalent to $X\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\times}\mathrm{EH}$ [3].

As a topological space $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ can be represented as follows. Applying fiberwisely the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\ldots,\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ (see Subsection 1.2) to the tautological $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ we obtain the space $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ which is exactly $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$.

Being a groupoid, $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ has canonical morphisms: source and target $s,\, t\colon \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\rightrightarrows \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l}$, composition $m\colon \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\underset{s\ \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l}\ t}{\times}\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$, identity $e\colon \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l}\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ and inversion $i\colon \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$.

Let us describe first two of them in terms of topological spaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}\sim \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,l}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\sim \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$. The source morphism $s\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ is just the bundle projection (recall that $\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ is obtained from the bundle $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ by the fiberwise application of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\ldots,\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$). The target morphism $t\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ is the map $h\mapsto h((\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_\alpha)$, where $h\in \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}),\ s(h)=\alpha\in \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ and as usual we identify the k-subalgebra $h((\mathcal{A}_{k,l})_\alpha)\subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ with the corresponding point in $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$.

There are also analogous descriptions of maps $e\colon\ \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}\to \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}),\ i\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\to \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})$ and

$$m\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\underset{s\ \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}\ t}{\times}\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l})\to \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,l}). \tag{17}$$

Note that there are bifunctors $C_{k,l}\times C_{m,n}\to C_{km,ln}$ induced by the tensor product of matrix algebras and therefore the corresponding morphisms of topological groupoids

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}\times \mathfrak{G}_{m,n}\to \mathfrak{G}_{km,ln}. \tag{18}$$

They cover the maps $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}\times \mathrm{Gr}_{m,n}\to \mathrm{Gr}_{km,ln}$ [12].

Remark 11. Note that one can define an "SU"-analog of the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ replacing $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ by $\mathrm{SU}(k)$. This is a k-fold covering of $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ (cf. Subsection 1.4).

Note that for any $\alpha\in \mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l})$ we have the (full) subcategory with one object α. The corresponding groupoid morphism $\mathrm{PU}(k)\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ is a Morita morphism, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{PU}(k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{G}_{k,l} \arrow[d, "s\times t"] \\
\alpha \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}\times \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a Cartesian square. It turns out (see the next subsection) that this Morita morphism induces a homotopy equivalence of the classifying spaces $\mathrm{BPU}(k)\simeq \mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$.

2.2. **Groupoids $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$.** Define a new category $\widehat{C}_{k,\,l}$ whose objects $\mathrm{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l}) = \mathrm{Ob}(C_{k,l})$ but morphism from $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l})$ to $\beta \in \mathrm{Ob}(\widehat{C}_{k,l})$ is the set of all pairs $(\lambda,\,\mu)$, where $\lambda\colon M_{k,\,\alpha} \to M_{k,\,\beta}$ and $\mu\colon M_{l,\,\alpha} \to M_{l,\,\beta}$ are $*$-isomorphisms, where $M_{l,\,\alpha} \cong M_l(\mathbb{C}),\ M_{l,\,\beta} \cong M_l(\mathbb{C})$ are centralizers (in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$) of $M_{k,\,\alpha}$ and $M_{k,\,\beta}$ respectively.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ be the set of all morphisms in $\widehat{C}_{k,\,l}$. Clearly, it is again a topological (even a Lie) groupoid. As a topological space it can also be described as the total space of some $\mathrm{PU}(k)\times\mathrm{PU}(l)$-bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\times\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ (the projection is given by $s\times t\colon \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\times\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$).

We also have the map $\widehat{\vartheta}\colon \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{PU}(kl),\ (\lambda,\,\mu) \mapsto \widehat{\vartheta}(\lambda,\,\mu)$, where $\widehat{\vartheta}(\lambda,\,\mu)\colon M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ is the unique automorphism induced by $(\lambda,\,\mu)$.

Remark 12. In fact, $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ is an action groupoid $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \rtimes \mathrm{PU}(kl)$ related to the action of $\mathrm{PU}(kl)$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$.

We have the natural groupoid morphism $\pi\colon \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \to \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l},\ (\lambda,\,\mu) \mapsto \lambda$. The fiber of π is clearly $\mathrm{PU}(l)$. Thus, we have the groupoid extension

$$\mathrm{PU}(l) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}. \tag{19}$$

Remark 13. Note that $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ can also be regarded as an extension of the pair groupoid $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\times\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ by $\mathrm{PU}(k)$.

2.3. **Universal principal groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle.** In this subsection we shall show that our previous construction (see Subsection 1.2) which to an $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $A_k \to X$ associates $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$-bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k) \to X$ is nothing but the extension functor from the structure group $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ to the structure groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$. Moreover, it turns out that $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ is the universal principal $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle, in particular, the classifying spaces $\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ and $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ are homotopy equivalent. Consequently, every $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle can be obtained from some $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle in this way.

Remark 14. Note that $\mathrm{B}\,\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \simeq \mathrm{BPU}(k)\times\mathrm{BPU}(l)$ because $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ is an action groupoid (cf. Remarks 10 and 12).

In Subsection 1.2 (see (7)) we defined the map $\tau_{k,l}\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l},\ h \mapsto h((A_k^{univ})_x) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, where $x \in \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ and $h \in p_{k,\,l}^{-1}(x)$ which is a fibration with contractible fibres; in particular, it is a homotopy equivalence.

There is the free and proper action

$$\varphi\colon \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\ {}_{s}\!\!\underset{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}^{\tau}}{\times} \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ})$$

($\tau := \tau_{k,\,l}$) defined by the compositions of algebra homomorphisms. More precisely, for $g \in \mathfrak{G}_{k,l},\ h \in p_{k,\,l}^{-1}(x),\ x \in \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ such that $s(g) = \tau_{k,l}(h)$ we put $\varphi(g,\,h) := g(h((A_k^{univ})_x)) \subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (in particular, $\tau_{k,l}(\varphi(g,\,h)) = t(g)$).

Theorem 15. *The base space of the principal groupoid* $\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$*-bundle* $(\mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}),\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,l},\,\varphi)$ *is* $\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ *(see* (4)*).*

Proof. It is easy to see that the map

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\ {}_{s}\!\!\underset{\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}^{0}}{\times}{}_{\tau}\ \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \to \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}) \underset{\mathrm{BPU}(k)}{\times} \mathrm{H}_{k,l}(A_k^{univ}),\ (g,\,p) \mapsto (gp,\,p)$$

is a homeomorphism. □

Thus, the action φ turns the fibration (4) into a principal groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle. Moreover, it is the *universal* $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle because (as we have already noticed) $\tau_{k,\,l}\colon \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ has contractible fibers. Therefore there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\simeq \mathrm{BPU}(k)$.

Remark 16. The last result (in particular, that the homotopy type of $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ does not depend on l) can be explained using the notion of Morita equivalence for groupoids (see [5]). Take a positive integer m and define $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}-\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,m}$-bimodule $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;\,k,m}$ as follows. $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;\,k,m}$ consists of all unital $*$-homomorphisms from k-subalgebras in $M_{km}(\mathbb{C})$ to k-subalgebras in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{M}_{k,l;\,k,m}$ is an *equivalence bimodule* [5]. If we take $m=1$ we obtain the homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\simeq \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ directly.

Remark 17. It is easy to see that for the SU-analog of the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ (see Remark 11) the classifying space is homotopy equivalent to $\mathrm{BSU}(k)$ (cf. (12)).

Note that the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ itself is (the total space of) a principal $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle with the base space $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}=\mathfrak{G}^0_{k,\,l}$. This bundle is called *unit* [8]. A principal groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\rightarrow X$ (we have already noticed that every principal $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle is of this form) is called *trivial w.r.t.* a map $f\colon X\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,\,l}$ if it is the pullback of the unit bundle via this map [8]. In particular, the unit bundle is trivial with respect to the identity map $\mathrm{id}\colon \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,\,l}\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}^0_{k,\,l}$. (Thus, in general, there are non isomorphic trivial bundles over the same base space). Note that a $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\rightarrow X$ is trivial iff it has a section, i.e. *there is an embedding* (1) (with $n=kl$) *iff* $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\rightarrow X$ *is a trivial principal groupoid* $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$*-bundle.*

Remark 18. Let us return to the functor $(A_k,\,\mu)\mapsto A_k$ (see Remark 2) corresponding to the map of classifying spaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\rightarrow \mathrm{BPU}(k)$. Now we see that it can be interpreted as the factorization by the action of the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ (cf. Subsection 3.2 below).

2.4. **A remark about stabilization.** Note that maps (18) induce maps of classifying spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\times \mathrm{H}_{m,\,n}(A_m^{univ}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{H}_{km,\,ln}(A_{km}^{univ}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{BPU}(k)\times \mathrm{BPU}(m) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{BPU}(km)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(we should restrict ourself to the case $(km,\,ln)=1$), cf. [12]. In the direct limit we obtain the H-space homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gr}\rightarrow \varinjlim_k \mathrm{BPU}(k), \tag{20}$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}:=\varinjlim_{(k,\,l)=1}\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ [12], maps in the direct limits are induced by the tensor product and we use the homotopy equivalences $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$. Since there is an H-space isomorphism $\mathrm{Gr}\cong \mathrm{BSU}_{\otimes}$ [12], we see that (20) is the composition of the localization map

$$\mathrm{BSU}_{\otimes}\rightarrow \prod_{n\geq 2}\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\,2n)$$

and the natural inclusion

$$\prod_{n\geq 2}\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n)\hookrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},\, 2)\times \prod_{n\geq 2}\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n)\simeq \varinjlim_k \mathrm{BPU}(k).$$

Consider the abelian group

$$\operatorname{coker}\{[X,\, \mathrm{Gr}]\to [X,\, \varinjlim_k \mathrm{BPU}(k)]\}, \tag{21}$$

where the homomorphism of the groups of homotopy classes is induced by (20). It admits the following “geometric” description. We call an $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle *embeddable* if there is an embedding $\mu\colon A_k\hookrightarrow X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ as above for some l, $(k,\, l)=1$. We say that $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_m(\mathbb{C})$-bundles C_k, D_m over X are *equivalent* if there are embeddable bundles A_l, B_n such that $C_k\otimes A_l\cong D_m\otimes B_n$. The set of such equivalence classes over the given base space X is a group with respect to the operation induced by the tensor product. Clearly, this group is the cokernel (21). In particular, for every even-dimensional sphere S^{2n} it is $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ (and 0 for every odd-dimensional one).

Remark 19. Since $\mathrm{BSU}_{\otimes}$ is an infinite loop space [9], this invariant can be interpreted in terms of the coefficient sequence for the corresponding cohomology theory.

3. Some constructions

3.1. **Partial isomorphisms.** Let $A_k\to X$ be an $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle over X and $\mu\colon A_k\hookrightarrow X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ $((k,\, l)=1)$ a bundle map which is a unital $*$-algebra homomorphism on each fiber as above. So every fiber $(A_k)_x$, $x\in X$ can be identified with the corresponding k-subalgebra $\mu|_x((A_k)_x)\subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ and we have the triple $(A_k,\, \mu,\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$. Let $(A'_k,\, \mu',\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ be another triple of such a kind. Assume that the bundles A_k and A'_k are isomorphic and choose some $*$-isomorphism $\vartheta\colon A_k\cong A'_k$.

Note that embeddings μ, μ' define the corresponding maps to the matrix Grassmannian f_μ, $f_{\mu'}\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ and, moreover ϑ, μ and μ' define a map $\nu\colon X\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ such that $s\circ\nu=f_\mu$, $t\circ\nu=f_{\mu'}$ and $\nu|_x=\mu'\circ\vartheta|_x\circ\mu^{-1}\colon \mu((A_k)_x)\to\mu'((A'_k)_x)$.

Conversely, a map $\nu\colon X\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,l}$ gives us some maps $f_\mu:=s\circ\nu$ and $f_{\mu'}:=t\circ\nu\colon X\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,l}$ that come from some triples $(A_k,\, \mu,\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$, $(A'_k,\, \mu',\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$, and an isomorphism $\vartheta\colon A_k\cong A'_k$. Such a ν will be called a *partial isomorphism* from $(A_k,\, \mu,\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ to $(A'_k,\, \mu',\, X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ or just a *partial automorphism* of the trivial bundle $X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Partial isomorphisms that can be lifted to “genuine” automorphisms of the trivial bundle $X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e. to genuine bundle maps $\widetilde{\vartheta}\colon X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})\to X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_k \arrow[r, "\vartheta"] \arrow[d, "\mu"'] & A'_k \arrow[d, "\mu'"] \\
X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\vartheta}"] & X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes) are just called *isomorphisms.*

Remark 20. An extension of a partial isomorphism $\nu\colon X\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ to a genuine isomorphism is equivalent to the choice of a lift $\widetilde{\nu}\colon X\to\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ of ν in (19) (to show this one can use the map $\widehat{\vartheta}\colon\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{PU}(kl)$ introduced in Subsection 2.2).

Now we claim that there are partial isomorphisms that are not isomorphisms. To show this, take $X=\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$. The map $\nu\colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ is defined as follows. Fix $\alpha\in\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ and consider all $*$-isomorphisms from $M_{k,\,\alpha}\subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{k,\,\beta}\subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, where β runs over all k-subalgebras in $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Clearly, this defines a subspace in $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$. In our case $A_k\cong A'_k=\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\times M_k(\mathbb{C})$, but μ and μ' are different.

In order to show this, define the $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{B}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ as the centralizer of the tautological subbundle $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (for more details see e.g. [10]). Clearly, $f_\mu\colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ is the map to the point $\alpha\in\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$, while $f_{\mu'}\colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ is (the projection of) the principal $\mathrm{PU}(k)$-bundle $\mathrm{PU}(k)\to\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$. Clearly, both bundles $A_k=f_\mu^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l})$ and $A'_k=f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l})$ are trivial, as we have already asserted (note that $A'_k=f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l})$ is trivial because $f_{\mu'}\colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ is the frame bundle for $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$). The bundle $f_\mu^*(\mathcal{B}_{k,\,l})$ is also trivial, while $f_{\mu'}^*(\mathcal{B}_{k,\,l})$ is nontrivial (because it is associated with the principal bundle $\mathrm{PU}(l)\to\mathrm{PU}(kl)\to\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$). This shows that for chosen $\nu\colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\ \vartheta$ can not be extended to an automorphism of $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (because such an automorphism induces an isomorphism not only between the subbundles $A_k,\ A'_k$, but also between their centralizers).

In particular, we see that the analog of Noether-Skolem's theorem is not true for matrix algebras $\Gamma(X,\ X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))=M_{kl}(C(X))$ over $C(X)$.

3.2. **An action on fibers of a forgetful functor.** Consider the forgetful functor given by the assignment $(A_k,\ \mu,\ X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))\mapsto A_k$ corresponding to the map of representing spaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\to\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ (whose homotopy fiber is $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$). We claim that our previous construction can be regarded as an action of the groupoid on its fibres.

First, let us recall some of the previous results. Applying fiberwisely the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\ldots,\ M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ to the universal $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $A_k^{univ}\to\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ we obtain the fibration

(22)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ}) \arrow[d, "p_{k,\,l}"] \\
 & \mathrm{BPU}(k)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with fiber $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}:=\mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\ M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$. We have the map $\tau_{k,\,l}\colon\ \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l},\ h\mapsto h((A_k^{univ})_x)\subset M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, where $x\in\mathrm{BPU}(k)$ and $h\in p_{k,\,l}^{-1}(x)$, which is a fibration with contractible fibres, i.e. a homotopy equivalence.

Moreover, there is the free and proper action

$$\varphi\colon\ \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l\ s}\underset{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}^{\tau}}{\times}\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\to\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$$

which turns the fibration (22) into the universal principal groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle.

We have also shown that for a map $\bar{f}\colon X\to \mathrm{BPU}(k)$ the choice of its lift $\widetilde{f}\colon X\to \mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$ (if it exists) is equivalent to the choice of an embedding $\mu\colon \bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})\to X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$. Such a lift we denoted by $\widetilde{f}_\mu$.

Given $\nu\colon X\to \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ such that $s\circ\nu=\tau_{k,\,l}\circ\widetilde{f}_\mu=f_\mu$, $t\circ\nu=f_{\mu'}\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ we define the composite map $\widetilde{f}_{\mu'}$:

$$X\overset{diag}{\to}X\times X\xrightarrow{\nu\times\widetilde{f}_\mu}\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\;{}_{s}\!\underset{\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}^{\tau}}{\times}\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})\overset{\varphi}{\to}\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k^{univ})$$

which is (in general) another lift of $\bar{f}$ ($p_{k,\,l}\circ\widetilde{f}_\mu=\bar{f}=p_{k,\,l}\circ\widetilde{f}_{\mu'}$), i.e. it corresponds to another (homotopy nonequivalent in general) embedding

$$\mu'\colon \bar{f}^*(A_k^{univ})\to X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}),\quad \text{i.e. } f_{\mu'}=\tau_{k,\,l}\circ\widetilde{f}_{\mu'}\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}\,.$$

Clearly, this action is transitive on homotopy classes of such embeddings.

3.3. **A remark about groupoid cocycles.**

In this subsection we sketch an approach to groupoid bundles via local trivializing data and 1-cocycles. The reader can find the general results in [8], but we hope that our groupoids provide an instructive illustration of the general theory.

In Subsection 2.3 we have already seen that a trivial $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\to X$ is the pullback of the unit bundle $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l})\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ via some map $f\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$. Moreover, such a map f is nothing but a trivialization of $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\to X$. Such a trivialization can also be thought of as a triple $(A_k,\,\mu,\,X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ (see Subsection 3.1), where $\mu\colon A_k\to X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ is a fiberwise embedding as above, because $f=f_\mu$ is its classifying map.

For a topological group G the group of automorphisms of a trivial G-bundle over X can be identified with the group of continuous maps $X\to G$ which take one trivialization to another. The analogous maps $\nu\colon X\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ to the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ were called partial isomorphisms in Subsection 3.1. Recall that such ν defines two compositions $s\circ\nu$ and $t\circ\nu\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ which give rise to some triples as above and therefore to some trivializations.

Let X be a compact manifold, $\mathcal{U}:=\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ its open covering. A $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ *1-cocycle* can be defined as a groupoid homomorphism (more precisely, as a functor) from the Čech groupoid to $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$. So we get the following unfolded form of this definition.

Definition 21. A *groupoid* $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ *1-cocycle* $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$ is a collection of continuous maps $g_{\alpha\beta}\colon U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ such that

1) $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\beta\gamma}$ are composable on $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\cap U_\gamma$, i.e. $\forall x\in U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\cap U_\gamma$ $t(g_{\alpha\beta}(x))=s(g_{\beta\gamma}(x))$, where s and t are the source and target maps for $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$;
2) $g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}=g_{\alpha\gamma}$ on $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\cap U_\gamma$ (in particular, $g_{\alpha\alpha}\in e$, $g_{\beta\alpha}=i(g_{\alpha\beta})$, where e and i are the identity and the inversion for the groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$, see Subsection 2.1).

In the same way one can define a groupoid $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle $\{\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$.

Remark 22. Note that a trivial bundle over X of our kind with a trivialization $(A_k,\,\mu,\,X\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ corresponds to the trivial $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle. Indeed, two maps $f_\mu,\,f_{\mu'}=f_\mu\colon X\to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ give rise to the *identity* partial isomorphism (see Subsection 3.1) $\nu\colon X\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$, $\nu|_x=\mathrm{id}_{\mu((A_k)_x)}$ which is the trivial groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle as claimed.

Now the gluing of a groupoid bundle using local data can be described as follows. So we start with an open covering $\mathcal{U}=\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ and trivial groupoid bundles $(A_{k,\alpha},\,\mu_\alpha,\,U_\alpha\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$ over $U_\alpha,\ \alpha\in A$. Suppose we are given a groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$ (over the same open covering $\mathcal{U}$) such that $s(g_{\alpha\beta})\equiv f_{\mu_\alpha}|_{U_\alpha\cap U_\beta}$ and $t(g_{\alpha\beta})\equiv f_{\mu_\beta}|_{U_\alpha\cap U_\beta}$ $\forall\alpha,\,\beta\in A$. (In our previous notation it is natural to denote it by $\{\nu_{\alpha\beta}\}$). The groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$ defines partial isomorphisms (see Subsection 3.1) from $(A_{k,\alpha},\,\mu_\alpha,\,U_\alpha\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))|_{U_\alpha\cap U_\beta}$ to $(A_{k,\beta},\,\mu_\beta,\,U_\beta\times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))|_{U_\alpha\cap U_\beta}$ for all $\alpha,\,\beta\in A$ which agree on triple intersections.

We can summarize the previous results as follows. Put

$$Y:=\coprod_\alpha U_\alpha,\quad Y^{[2]}:=Y\underset{X}{\times}Y=\coprod_{\alpha,\,\beta}U_\alpha\cap U_\beta.$$

For every pair $\alpha,\,\beta\in A$ a 1-cocycle $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$ defines maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l} \arrow[dl, "s"'] \arrow[dr, "t"] & \\
\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} & & \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \\
& U_\alpha\cap U_\beta \arrow[uu, "g_{\alpha\beta}", "=\nu_{\alpha\beta}"'] \arrow[dl, "i_\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "i_\beta"] & \\
U_\alpha \arrow[uu, "f_\alpha"] & & U_\beta \arrow[uu, "f_\beta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the cocycle conditions on triple intersections. The idea is to regard the map $f_\alpha\colon U_\alpha\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ (corresponding to the "identity" $g_{\alpha\alpha}$) as a *local trivialization* and $\nu_{\alpha\beta}\colon U_\alpha\cap U_\beta\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ for $\alpha\neq\beta$ as a *gluing* of different trivializations over the double intersection $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$. Thus, we have maps

$$f\colon Y\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l},\quad g\colon Y\underset{X}{\times}Y\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$$

such that $s\circ g=f\circ\pi_1|_{Y\underset{X}{\times}Y}$, $t\circ g=f\circ\pi_2|_{Y\underset{X}{\times}Y}$, where $\pi_i\colon Y\underset{X}{\times}Y\to Y$ are the projections onto ith factor.

There is a natural equivalence relation on the set of groupoid 1-cocycles generalizing the equivalence relation on group 1-cocycles. As in the case of usual bundles constructed by means of group G 1-cocycles, we have:

1) equivalence of 1-cocycles over the same open covering $\mathcal{U}$;
2) equivalence of 1-cocycles related to the refinement of the open covering.

The case 1) concerns to the different choices of trivializations over open subsets U_α. We have already noticed that such a trivialization is actually a map $f_{\mu_\alpha}\colon U_\alpha\to\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ and two such trivializations $f_{\mu_\alpha},\ f_{\mu'_\alpha}$ are related by the map $\nu_\alpha\colon U_\alpha\to\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ (such that $s\circ\nu_\alpha=f_{\mu_\alpha},\ t\circ\nu_\alpha=f_{\mu'_\alpha}$).

Remark 23. Note that using groupoid $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$ 1-cocycle one can glue some global $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $A_k\to X$ such that $A_k|U_\alpha=A_{k,\alpha}$. It agrees with the proved above homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{B}\,\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}\simeq\mathrm{BPU}(k)$. In other words, the groupoid bundle glued by the 1-cocycle is $\mathrm{H}_{k,\,l}(A_k)\to X$. Note that local embeddings μ_α, $\alpha \in A$ do not give rise to some global object (like local trivializations in the case of "usual" bundles).

The case of the groupoid $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ can be described in the similar way. In this case a map $f\colon X \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ (a "trivialization") can be regarded as the product bundle $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ together with a chosen decomposition into the tensor product $A_k \otimes B_l$ of its $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-subbundles $A_k \to X$ and $B_l \to X$ respectively.

Note that using a $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$-cocycle $\{\widehat{g}_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\,\beta\in A}$ as above one can glue some $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundles A_k, B_l over X. It relates to the existence of a homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{B}\,\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l} \simeq \mathrm{BPU}(k) \times \mathrm{BPU}(l)$ (cf. Remarks 10 and 12).

The relation between $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{k,\,l}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\,l}$-groupoid bundles follows from the exact sequence (19).

4. On the K-theory automorphisms

4.1. **The case of line bundles.** First, consider the case of line bundles. The classifying space of K-theory can be taken to be $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$, the space of Fredholm operators on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. It is known [2] that for a compact space X the action of the Picard group $Pic(X)$ on $K(X)$ is induced by the conjugation action

$$\gamma\colon\ \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H}),\ \gamma(g,\,T) = gTg^{-1}$$

of $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$. The more precise statement is given in the following theorem (recall that $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},\,2)$).

Theorem 24. *If $f_\xi\colon X \to \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varphi_\zeta\colon X \to \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ represent $\xi \in K(X)$ and $\zeta \in Pic(X)$ respectively, then the composite map*

$$X \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} X \times X \xrightarrow{\varphi_\zeta \times f_\xi} \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{23}$$

represents $\zeta \otimes \xi \in K(X)$.

Proof see [2]. □

If we want to restrict ourself to the action of line bundles corresponding to elements of finite order in the group $Pic(X)$ we have to consider the subgroups $\mathrm{PU}(k) \subset \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$. Let us describe this inclusion.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of bounded operators on the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) := M_k(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the matrix algebra over $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (of course, it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$). Let $\mathrm{U}_k(\mathcal{H}) \subset M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ be the corresponding unitary group (isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$). It acts on $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ by conjugations (which are $*$-algebra automorphisms). Moreover, the kernel of the action is the center, i.e. the subgroup of scalar matrices $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The corresponding quotient group we denote by $\mathrm{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})$ (it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$).

$M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ is a k-subalgebra (i.e. a unital $*$-subalgebra isomorphic to $M_k(\mathbb{C})$) in $M_k(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. Then $\mathrm{PU}(k) \subset \mathrm{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})$ is the subgroup of automorphisms of the mentioned k-subalgebra. Thus we have defined the injective group homomorphism

$$\Psi_k\colon\ \mathrm{PU}(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PU}_k(\mathcal{H}),$$

induced by the injective homomorphism $\mathrm{U}(k)\hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}_k(\mathcal{H}),\ g\mapsto g\otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$.

Proposition 25. *For a line bundle $\zeta\to X$ satisfying the condition*

$$\zeta^{\oplus k}\cong X\times \mathbb{C}^k \tag{24}$$

the classifying map $\varphi_\zeta\colon X\to \mathrm{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})\cong \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ can be lifted to a map $\widetilde{\varphi}_\zeta\colon X\to \mathrm{PU}(k)$ such that $\Psi_k\circ\widetilde{\varphi}_\zeta\simeq\varphi_\zeta$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence of groups

$$1\to \mathrm{U}(1)\to \mathrm{U}(k)\overset{\chi_k}{\to}\mathrm{PU}(k)\to 1 \tag{25}$$

and the fibration

$$\mathrm{PU}(k)\overset{\psi_k}{\to}\mathrm{BU}(1)\overset{\omega_k}{\to}\mathrm{BU}(k) \tag{26}$$

obtained by its extension to the right. In particular, $\psi_k\colon\ \mathrm{PU}(k)\to\mathrm{BU}(1)\simeq\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is a classifying map for the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundle (25). Clearly, $\Psi_k\simeq\psi_k$ under the homotopy equivalence $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})\simeq\mathrm{BU}(1)$. (Indeed, it follows from the map of $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundles

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}(k) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{U}_k(\mathcal{H})\simeq\mathrm{EU}(1) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{PU}(k) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{PU}_k(\mathcal{H})\simeq\mathrm{BU}(1)).
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to see from fibration (26) that for an arbitrary line bundle $\zeta\to X$ satisfying the condition (24) the classifying map $\varphi_\zeta\colon X\to\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ can be lifted to $\widetilde{\varphi}_\zeta\colon X\to\mathrm{PU}(k)$ (because for such a bundle we have $\omega_k\circ\varphi_\zeta\simeq *$ and ω_k is induced by $\zeta\mapsto\zeta^{\oplus k}$). $\square$

The choice of a lift $\widetilde{\varphi}_\zeta$ corresponds to the choice of a trivialization (24): two lifts differ by a map $X\to\mathrm{U}(k)$. Therefore the subgroup in $Pic(X)$ formed by line bundles satisfying condition (24) is isomorphic to $\mathrm{im}\{\psi_{k*}\colon [X,\,\mathrm{PU}(k)]\to[X,\,\mathbb{C}P^\infty]\}=[X,\,\mathrm{PU}(k)]/(\chi_{k*}[X,\,\mathrm{U}(k)])$ (cf. the definition of the "finite" Brauer group).

4.2. **The general case.** In [1] M. Atiyah and G. Segal wrote: "The group Fred_1 is a product

$$\mathrm{Fred}_1\simeq\mathbb{P}^\infty_\mathbb{C}\times\mathrm{SFred}_1,$$

where SFred_1 is the fibre of the determinant map

$$\mathrm{Fred}_1\cong BU\to B\mathbb{T}\cong\mathbb{P}^\infty_\mathbb{C},$$

and the twistings of this paper are those coming from $(\pm1)\times\mathbb{P}^\infty_\mathbb{C}$. We do not know any equally geometrical approach to the more general ones."

In what follows we are going to describe the action of the group of (isomorphism classes of) SU-bundles of finite order on $K(X)$. It corresponds to the torsion subgroup in SFred_1 (our notation differs from the one in [1]). We hope that this construction would provide a geometric approach to more general twistings in K-theory.

As we have seen in the previous subsection, the group $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ from one hand acts on the representing space $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$ of the K-theory and from the other hand it is the base space of the universal line bundle. These two facts lead to the result that the action of $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ on $K(X)$ corresponds to the tensor product by elements of the Picard group $Pic(X)$ (i.e. by line bundles). This action can be restricted to the subgroups $\mathrm{PU}(k) \subset \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ which classify the elements of finite order k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In what follows the role of subgroups $\mathrm{PU}(k)$ will play the spaces $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$. From one hand, they in some sense "act" on the K-theory (more precisely, we have the action of their direct limit which is an H-space with respect to the natural operation), from the other hand they are bases of some l-dimensional bundles whose classes have order k. We shall show that the "action" of $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ on $K(X)$ corresponds to the tensor product by those l-dimensional bundles (see Theorem 29).

Proposition 26. *A map $X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ is the same thing as an embedding*

$$\mu\colon X \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{27}$$

whose restriction to every fiber is a unital $*$-algebra homomorphism.

Proof follows directly from the bijection $\mathrm{Mor}(X \times M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathrm{Mor}(X,\, \mathrm{Mor}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})))$. □

For the $\mathrm{PU}(k)$-bundle projection $\pi_{k,\,l}\colon \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l}$ ($\pi_{k,\,l} = f_{\mu'}$ in the notation of Subsection 3.1) the pull-back $\pi^*_{k,\,l}(\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l})$ has the canonical trivialization (because $\pi_{k,\,l}$ is the frame bundle for $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,l}$). In general μ in (27) is a nontrivial embedding, i.e. not equivalent to the choice of a constant k-subalgebra in $X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ (equivalently, the homotopy class of $X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ is nontrivial). In particular, the subbundle of centralizers B_l for $\mu(X \times M_k(\mathbb{C})) \subset X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ can be nontrivial as an $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle.

The fibration

$$\mathrm{PU}(l) \xrightarrow{E_k \otimes \ldots} \mathrm{PU}(kl) \xrightarrow{\chi'_k} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \tag{28}$$

(cf. (2)) can be extended to the right

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \xrightarrow{\psi'_k} \mathrm{BPU}(l) \xrightarrow{\omega'_k} \mathrm{BPU}(kl), \tag{29}$$

where ψ'_k is the classifying map for the $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k,\,l} := \pi^*_{k,\,l}(\mathcal{B}_{k,\,l}) \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ (see the end of Subsection 3.1) which is associated with principal $\mathrm{PU}(l)$-bundle (28).

Proposition 27. *(Cf. Proposition 25) For $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle $B_l \to X$ such that*

$$[M_k] \otimes B_l \cong X \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{30}$$

(cf. (24)), where $[M_k]$ is the trivial $M_k(\mathbb{C})$-bundle over X, a classifying map $\varphi_{B_l}\colon X \to \mathrm{BPU}(l)$ can be lifted to a map $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B_l}\colon X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ (i.e. $\psi'_k \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_{B_l} = \varphi_{B_l}$ or $B_l = \widetilde{\varphi}^*_{B_l}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k,\,l})$).

Proof follows from fibration (29). □

Moreover, the choice of such a lift corresponds to the choice of trivialization (30) and we return to the interpretation of a map $X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ given in Proposition 26. We stress that a map $X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ is not just an $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle but an $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundle together with a particular choice of trivialization (30).

It follows from our previous results that the bundle $B_l \to X$ has the form $\mathrm{End}(\eta_l)$ for some (unique up to isomorphism) $\mathbb{C}^l$-bundle $\eta_l \to X$ with the structure group $\mathrm{SU}(l)$ (that's because we assumed that $(k,\, l) = 1$). Let $\widetilde{\zeta} \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ be the line bundle associated with universal covering (11) and $\zeta' \to X$ its pullback via $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B_l}$. Put $\eta_l' = \eta_l \otimes \zeta'$.

Let $\mathrm{Fred}_n(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of Fredholm operators in $M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Fred}_n(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$. The canonical evaluation map

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}), \quad (h,\, T) \mapsto h(T) \tag{31}$$

(recall that $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} = \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$) induces the map

$$\gamma'_{k,\,l} \colon\ \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times \mathrm{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Fred}_{kl}(\mathcal{H}). \tag{32}$$

Remark 28. Note that map (31) can be decomposed into the composition

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \underset{\mathrm{PU}(k)}{\times} M_k(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \to M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the last map is the tautological embedding $\mu \colon \mathcal{A}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Gr}_{k,\,l} \times M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ followed by the projection onto the second factor.

Now suppose $f_\xi \colon X \to \mathrm{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H})$ represents some element $\xi \in K(X)$.

Theorem 29. *(Cf. Theorem 24). In the above notation the composite map (cf. (23))*

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}} X \times X \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}_{B_l} \times f_\xi} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times \mathrm{Fred}_k(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\gamma'_{k,\,l}} \mathrm{Fred}_{kl}(\mathcal{H})$$

represents $\eta_l' \otimes \xi \in K(X)$.

Proof (cf. [2], Proposition 2.1). By assumption the element $\xi \in K(X)$ is represented by a family $F = \{F_x\}$ of Fredholm operators in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^k$. Then $\eta_l' \otimes \xi \in K(X)$ is represented by the family $\{\mathrm{Id}_{(B_l)_x} \otimes F_x\}$ of Fredholm operators in the Hilbert bundle $\eta_l' \otimes (\mathcal{H}^k)$ (recall that $\mathrm{End}(\eta_l) = B_l \Rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\eta_l') = B_l$). A trivialization $\eta_l' \otimes (\mathcal{H}^k) \cong \mathcal{H}^{kl}$ is the same thing as a lift $\widetilde{\varphi}_{B_l} \colon X \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$ of the classifying map $\varphi_{B_l} \colon X \to \mathrm{BPU}(l)$ for B_l (see (29)). $\square$

Remark 30. In order to separate the "SU"-part of the "action" $\gamma'_{k,\,l}$ from its "line" part, one can consider the analogous "action" of the space $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\,l}$ in place of $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l}$. Then one would have the representing map for $\eta_l \otimes \xi \in K(X)$ in the statement of Theorem 29.

The commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Fr}_{k^2,\,l^2} \times M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[d] & (h_2,\, h_1;\, x_1 \otimes x_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (h_2 \otimes h_1;\, x_1 \otimes x_2) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times M_{k^2 l}(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[r] & M_{k^2 l^2}(\mathbb{C}) & (h_2;\, h_1(x_1) \otimes x_2) \arrow[r] & (h_1(x_1) \otimes h_2(x_2))
\end{tikzcd}$$

(where $h_i \in \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} = \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(M_k(\mathbb{C}),\, M_{kl}(\mathbb{C}))$, $x_i \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$) gives rise to the "associativity" condition for the "action" $\gamma'_{k,\,l}$. Note that the map $\mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\,l} \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k^2,\,l^2}$ corresponds to the tensor product of corresponding $M_l(\mathbb{C})$-bundles. In fact, the maps $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^m,\,l^m} \times \mathrm{Fr}_{k^n,\,l^n} \to \mathrm{Fr}_{k^{m+n},\,l^{m+n}}$ (induced by the tensor product of matrix algebras) define the structure of an H-space on $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty} := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fr}_{k^n,\, l^n}$. Moreover, Fr_{k^∞} is an infinite loop space, because it is the fiber of the localization $\mathrm{BU}_\otimes \to \mathrm{BU}_\otimes[\frac{1}{k}]$ (and $\mathrm{BU}_\otimes$ is an infinite loop space according [9]).

Note that the "action" (32) is not invertible because we take the tensor product of $K(X)$ by some l-dimensional bundle. Therefore it makes sense to consider the localization

$$\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)} := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Fred}_{l^n}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the direct limit is taken over the maps induced by the tensor product, so l becomes invertible and the index takes values in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{l}]$. (In fact, our construction is independent of the choice of l, $(k,\, l) = 1$, so we can consider a pair of such numbers in order to avoid the localization.)

The idea is to associate a $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$-bundle with the universal $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,\, l^\infty}$-bundle $\mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,\, l^\infty} \to \mathrm{EFr}_{k^\infty,\, l^\infty} \to \mathrm{BFr}_{k^\infty,\, l^\infty}$ using the action $\varinjlim_n \gamma'_{k^n,\, l^n} \colon \mathrm{Fr}_{k^\infty,\, l^\infty} \times \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)} \to \mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$. This $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})_{(l)}$-bundle in our version of the twisted K-theory should play the same role as the $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$-bundle associated (by the action γ) with the universal $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$-bundle in the "usual" version of the twisted K-theory.

4.3. **Some speculations.** We have already noticed (see Remark 10) that $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\, l}$ is not an action groupoid related to an action of some Lie group on $\mathrm{Gr}_{k,\, l}$. But in the direct limit it is an "action groupoid". More precisely, consider $\mathfrak{G} := \varinjlim_{(k,\, l)=1} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\, l}$ (the maps are induced by the tensor product). Since $\mathrm{Fr} := \varinjlim_{(k,\, l)=1} \mathrm{Fr}_{k,\, l}$ is an H-space (even an infinite loop space [9]), we see that $\mathfrak{G}$ corresponds to the action of Fr on Gr (see Subsection 2.4). Moreover, in this situation the map (20) can be extended to the fibration

$$\mathrm{Gr} \to \varinjlim_k \mathrm{BPU}(k) \to \mathrm{BFr} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathrm{BSU}_\otimes \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},\, 2) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n) \to \mathrm{BFr} \tag{33}$$

(cf. Subsection 2.4). Note that we can also define $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}} := \varinjlim_{(k,\, l)=1} \widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}_{k,\, l}$ (see (11)) and consider the corresponding fibration

$$\mathrm{BSU}_\otimes \to \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n) \to \mathrm{B}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}. \tag{34}$$

In fact, $\mathrm{BFr} = \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},\, 2) \times \mathrm{B}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}$. We also have the "unitary" version

$$\mathrm{BU}_\otimes \to \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n) \to \mathrm{BFr}, \tag{35}$$

where recall $\mathrm{BU}_\otimes \cong \mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathrm{BSU}_\otimes$ and therefore it splits as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}P^\infty \times \mathrm{BSU}_\otimes \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2) \times \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2n) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},\, 2) \times \mathrm{B}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}.$$

The part

$$\mathbb{C}P^\infty \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\, 2) \to \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},\, 2)$$

corresponds to the "usual" finite Brauer group $H^3_{tors}(X,\,\mathbb{Z})$ $(=\ \operatorname{coker}\{H^2(X,\,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(X,\,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})\} = \operatorname{im}\delta\colon \{H^2(X,\,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^3(X,\,\mathbb{Z})\}$, cf. Remark 5). Therefore using the fibration (34) one can define a "noncommutative" analog of the Brauer group of X as

$$\operatorname{coker}\{[X,\,\prod_{n\geq 2}\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Q},\,2n)] \to [X,\,\mathrm{B}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{Fr}}]\}.$$

In this connection note that $\mathrm{BSU}_{\otimes}$ represents the group of virtual SU-bundles of virtual dimension 1 with respect to the tensor product while $\mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$ represents the Picard group, i.e. the group of line bundles with respect to the tensor product too. The Picard group acts on $K(X)$ by group homomorphisms [2] and this leads to the "usual" twisted K-theory.

Comparing two previous subsections we see that the "action" of Fr_{k^∞} on $K(X)$ (strictly speaking, on the localization $K(X)[\frac{1}{l}]$) is an analog of the action of $\mathrm{PU}(k^\infty) := \varinjlim_n \mathrm{PU}(k^n)$ on $K(X)$ which leads to the k-primary component of $Br(X)$. So the idea is to show that γ' (see the previous subsection) gives rise to the action of the H-space Fr on the K-theory spectrum and using this action to associate the corresponding $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$-bundle with the universal Fr-bundle over BFr (as in the case of the "usual" twisted K-theory one associates a $\mathrm{Fred}(\mathcal{H})$-bundle with the universal $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$-bundle over $\mathrm{BPU}(\mathcal{H})$ using the action γ (see Subsection 4.1)).

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to A.S. Mishchenko and E.V. Troitsky for all-round support and very helpful discussions. I would like to express my deep gratitude to Thomas Schick for hospitality and very helpful discussions during my visit to Göttingen.

REFERENCES

[1] M. ATIYAH, G. SEGAL Twisted K-theory // arXiv:math/0407054v2 [math.KT]
[2] M. ATIYAH, G. SEGAL Twisted K-theory and cohomology // arXiv:math/0510674v1 [math.KT]
[3] R. BROWN: From Groups to Groupoids: A Brief Survey. *Bull. London Math. Soc. 19, 113-134, 1987.*
[4] M. KAROUBI: K-theory. An Introduction. *Springer Verlag, 1978.*
[5] R. MEYER: Morita Equivalence In Algebra And Geometry. http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/meyer97morita.html
[6] F.P. PETERSON: Some remarks on Chern classes, *Ann. Math. 69 (1959) 414-420.*
[7] R.S. PIERCE: Associative Algebras. *Springer Verlag, 1982.*
[8] C.A. ROSSI: Principal bundles with groupoid structure: local vs. global theory and nonabelian čech cohomology // arXiv:math/0404449v1 [math.DG]
[9] G.B. SEGAL: Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology 13 (1974).*
[10] A.V. ERSHOV: Theories of bundles with additional structures. *Fundamentalnaya i prikladnaya matematika, vol. 13 (2007), no. 8, pp. 7798.*
[11] A.V. ERSHOV: Theories of bundles with additional homotopy conditions // arXiv:0804.1119v3 [math.KT]
[12] A.V. ERSHOV: A generalization of the topological Brauer group // Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology , Volume 2, Special Issue 03, December 2008, pp 407-444

E-mail address: `ershov.andrei@gmail.com`